

Bullying, Job Performance and other Psychological Factors among Army Personnels

Dwaipayan Pal¹, Roshan Lal Dewangan²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Applied Psychology, Kazi Nazrul University, Asansol, West Bengal, INDIA

² Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Psychology, Kazi Nazrul University, Asansol, West Bengal, INDIA

Received: 09.10.2023; Accepted: 25.12.2023; Published online: March, 2024

Abstract

In various professional sectors, including the military, workplace bullying, encompassing forms like verbal abuse and social isolation, adversely impacts employee performance and emotional well-being. This study explores the link between workplace bullying, job performance, stress, and emotional intelligence in the military context. Conducted on 50 army personnel aged 24-43 years, the study revealed a positive correlation between workplace bullying and job performance, however, it was also significantly positively correlated with stress, anxiety, and depression. This research emphasizes the importance of addressing workplace bullying to enhance military personnel's mental well-being.

Keywords: Workplace bullying, Army, Stress, Emotional intelligence, Job performance

Introduction

Employees' job performance doesn't just shape a company's reputation; it also impacts an individual's career and success [1]. When employees feel good about their work and are successful, they are more motivated and engaged [2]. Many things at work affect how well employees do their jobs, like job satisfaction, clear goals, and training [3]. Stress at work, including bullying, can seriously affect job performance. Bullying creates a hostile work environment, causing stress and anxiety [6]. When someone is bullied, they may feel scared and powerless, making them less productive and engaged [7], [8]. Work stress has become a big problem, with overloaded schedules and job insecurity making people feel stressed [9]. Stress can affect how well people work, sometimes in a good way, but often in a bad way [10]. Long-term stress can even cause health problems like high blood pressure and depression.

In the Indian army, work stress has led to tragic incidents. Over a thousand soldiers have committed suicide since 2003, a situation disclosed by the former Defence Minister of India, Mr A K Antony. Referring to report submitted by former Defence Minister of India Mr A K Antony

to the Lok Sabha, on November 12, 2012, cited that "...suicide and fragging (to kill a fellow soldier) cases continue to remain as high as ever. Around 1,020 soldiers have committed suicide just since 2003. Disclosing the figures, Antony said while 96 soldiers had committed suicide in 2009, the figures stood at 115 in 2010, 102 (2011), and 81 till now this year"[11]. This shows how important it is for organizations to address stress and create supportive work environments for their employees' well-being.

Emotional intelligence is a vital tool in handling emotions at work. It enables individuals to understand and manage their own emotions as well as others, guiding their thoughts and actions [12]. People with high emotional intelligence can identify their feelings and use strategies to regulate them, making them better at managing stress [13]. Additionally, emotional intelligence allows individuals to adapt to the emotions of higher authorities in the workplace, aiding in effective situation management [14]. Studies have shown a strong link between emotional intelligence and management. Individuals with emotional intelligence exhibit better flexibility in handling stressors [15]. This quality is particularly crucial in high-stress professions like the military, where soldiers face demanding situations both emotionally and physically [16]. By developing emotional intelligence, army personnel can effectively cope with the stressors inherent in their

In the context of the Indian Army sector, our study aims to explore the connections between workplace bullying, job performance, stress, and emotional intelligence, highlighting the importance of emotional intelligence in managing workplace challenges.

Methods

Sampling

This study followed the Purposive sampling technique. A total of 50 individuals, who were army personnel aged between 24-43 years, who were working in army for at least 2 years, and not having any known chronic psychological disorder, were drawn following purposive sampling.

#Electronic mail: dwaipayanpal7@gmail.com

Measures Information sheet

An information schedule was prepared for collecting the socio-demographic details likegender, age, educational qualification, marital status, service rank/post, duration in the service, history of any psychological illness, history of any serious physical illness.

Workplace Bullying Scale (WBS)[17]

The workplace bullying scale consists of 21 items, it was used to measure bullying in workplace. The scale scored based on a five-point Likert rating scale, where 1= Never and 5 = Daily. Cronbach's alpha of WBS is 0.91.

The Individual Work **Performance Scale**[18]

The 18-items self-report scale was used to measure employee performance. The scale is scored by a four-point Likert rating scale, where Occasionally,1= Sometimes, 2=Regularly,3= often, 4= always. The reliability coefficient for each dimension, task performance is 0.931, contextual performance is 0.904, and counterproductive work behaviour is 0.834.

Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale - 21 Items (DASS-21)[19], [20]

The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale - 21 Items (DASS-21) is a set of three self-report scales designed to measure the emotional states of depression, anxiety and stress. It has four response options: o "Did not apply to me at all-Never", 1 "Applied to me to some degree, or some of the time-Sometimes", 2 "Applied to me to a considerable degree, or a good part of time-Often" to 3 "Applied to me very much, or most of the time-Almost always". The internal consistency reliability of the scale was 0.88 for the overall scale.

Wong and Law's Emotional Intelligence Scale(WLEIS)[21]

Consisting of 16 items to measure EI based on the revised model of Mayer and Salovey [22], [23]. The scale is composed of 16 items rated on a 7-point Likert-type scale (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree), measuring four dimensions of Trait EI- Appraisal and expression of emotion in the self, Appraisal and recognition of emotion in others, Regulation of emotion in the self, and use of emotion to facilitate performance. Reliability coefficients as indicated by Cronbach's alpha, the reliability coefficient for the overall test was .83.

Procedure

Central Reserved Police Force (CRPF) personnels were contacted individually. Informed consents were obtained from each participant. Measures were administered in individual settings.

Result

A total of 50 participants took part in the study, with ages ranging from 24 to 43 years and a mean age of 32.30. The participants' education levels varied from 10th to 12+3 standard, with a mean education level of 11.84 years. The majority of participants were married males (86%), and there was a higher percentage of Rank-Sipahi (38%) compared to other ranks (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive of the participants (N=50)

Variable		Mean (SD)		
Age		32.30 (5.73)		
Education	11.84 (1.83)			
-		Frequency (%)		
Marital Status	Married	43 (86)		
	Unmarried	7 (14)		
Ranks in CRPF	Sipahi	19 (38)		
	Havildar Subedar Constable	16 (32) 5 (10) 10 (20)		

Participants exhibited varying levels of stress, anxiety, and depression. Specifically, 96% of participants fell within the normal range for stress, while 50% were within the normal range for anxiety. For depression, 78% of participants were in the normal range (Table-2).

Table2. Severity on DASS for the participants (N=50)

	Level	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Stress	Normal	48	96.0
	Mild	1	2
	Severe	1	2
Anxiety	Normal	25	50
	Mild	9	18
	Moderate	15	30
	Severe	1	2
Depression	Normal	39	78
	Mild	10	20
	Extremely severe	1	2

The correlation table (Table 3) provides valuable insights into the relationships among the studied variables. Positive correlation between

duration of service (DUR) and age, indicating that older individuals tend to have longer tenures in the army. However, this experience does necessarily translate to better job performance (PER), as indicated by the negative correlation between job duration and performance. This implies that while experience and age increase, job performance tends to decrease. Furthermore, the table reveals a significant positive correlation between workplace bullying (WPB) and anxiety (ANX) as well as depression (DEP). This underscores the detrimental impact of workplace bullying on the mental health of army personnel, leading to higher levels of anxiety and depression. Additionally, emotional intelligence (EI) shows a negative correlation with job duration.

Table 3. Zero-order correlations among study variables.

WD

FI

ΔΝ

PE

ED

	AGE	ED U	R	PE R		EI	R R	AN X	El
AGE	1								
ED U	1 18	1							
DU R	.826 **	1 91	1						
PER	3 01*	.04 3		1					
WP B	2 00	.23 9	0 96		1				
EI	13 3	.02 8	3 37 [*]		1 45	1			
STR	10 6		0 44		.29 3*	- .0 34	1		
AN X	- .280 *	.08 6			.46 1**	.01 4	·47 3**	1	
DEP	- .286 *		2 33					.65 8**	1

Education: DUR= Note.Edu= **Duration:** PER=Performance; WPB= Workplace Bullying EI= Emotional Intelligence; STR= Stress; ANX= Anxiety; DEP= Depression

Discussion

The Present study aimed to investigate the association among workplace bullying, job performance, stress and emotional intelligence in Army sector. The data was obtained from the 50 army personnel.

The findings of the current study underscore a significant positive correlation between stress and workplace bullying among army personnel, indicating that bullying behaviors could be a significant source of stress within this demographic [24]. Previous research has consistently demonstrated the relationship between stress and various mental health problems, adding weight to our results [24]. Notably, our study also revealed a positive correlation between workplace bullying and depression and anxiety, aligning with existing literature highlighting the detrimental impact of workplace bullying on mental health [25]. Individuals subjected to workplace bullying often experience severe consequences, including psychological distress, burnout, and a range of health challenges, such as disturbances, fatigue (especially in women), and diminished vigor (particularly in men) [26].

Surprisingly, our study demonstrated a positive correlation between workplace bullying and job performance among army personnel, indicating that bullying behaviors might create performance pressure, potentially leading to improved workrelated outcomes, although at the expense of employees' well-being [26]. Job performance issues can stem from various factors, including low job satisfaction, inadequate remuneration, or skill deficiencies [26]. Strikingly, research from Nigeria indicated that employees perceiving lower levels of workplace bullying tend to outperform their counterparts experiencing higher levels bullying, suggesting a complex interplay between workplace dynamics and performance outcomes [27]. However, it is crucial to note that excessive workplace bullying negatively impacts satisfaction, potentially diminishing overall performance [28]. High job satisfaction is closely linked to enhanced performance, emphasizing the delicate balance organizations must strike to foster a productive vet supportive work environment for their employees [29].

These findings emphasize the critical need to address workplace bullying for the holistic wellbeing and productivity of employees within organizational settings. Surprisingly, the present study did not reveal a significant relationship intelligence between emotional and performance, contrasting with existing academic research suggesting a notable impact of emotional intelligence on employee performance [30], [31]. Emotional intelligence's positive influence on job satisfaction has been observed in previous studies, where it aids employees in managing negative emotions while enhancing positive feelings, ultimately improving job performance [32].

Furthermore, our study indicated no direct association between emotional intelligence and stress, although extensive academic research suggests otherwise. Studies have shown that higher emotional intelligence enables individuals to cope more effectively with stress, leading to reduced stress levels [33]. However, relationship between emotional intelligence and stress is intricate; while some studies did not find a direct correlation between overall emotional intelligence and stress, specific subscales of emotional intelligence were linked to certain stressors [33]. In the present study, we discovered significant positive correlation between workplace bullying and anxiety and depression in the army sector, indicating the adverse impact of bullying on victims' physical and psychological well-being. This impact was further mediated by anxiety and partially by depression, highlighting the complex interplay between workplace bullying, anxiety, depression, and stress [34].

Moreover, our research shed light on the interconnectedness of anxiety and depression, revealing a mutual positive correlation. This suggests that the presence of anxiety significantly heightens the likelihood of developing depression, emphasizing the importance of addressing both conditions to foster a mentally healthy work environment [35]. Stress, acting as a predictor for both depression and anxiety, underscores its pivotal role in determining mental outcomes [36]. These findings underscore the multifaceted nature of emotional well-being within the workplace, indicating the necessity for comprehensive strategies that address emotional intelligence, workplace bullying, stress, anxiety, and depression to promote a psychologically healthy work environment for employees.

Conclusion

In light of recent study, we can conclude that, army personnel face anxiety and depression in mild to severe range. And workplace bullying directly or indirectly inducing stress within them which may lead performance pressure, thus their job performance positively correlated with stress.

References

- M. A. Griffin, A. Neal, and S. K. Parker, A [1] New Model of Work Role Performance: Positive Behavior in Uncertain and Interdependent Contexts, *Acad. Manag. J.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 327– 347, Apr. 2007, Doi: 10.5465/amj.2007.24634438.
- A. B. Hamidi, The Impacts of Performance [2] Appraisal on Employee's Job Satisfaction and Organizational Behavior, Ilomata Int. J. Soc. Sci., vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 466-480, Aug. 2023, Doi: 10.52728/IJSS.V4I3.876.

- R. J. Vance, Employee Engagement and Commitment A guide to understanding, measuring and increasing engagement in your organization. Alexandria, VA: SHRM Foundation,
- F. Luthans, B. C. Luthans, and K. W. [4] Luthans, Organizational behavior: An evidencebased approach fourteenth edition. IAP, 2021.
- H. S. Goh, S. Hosier, and H. Zhang, Prevalence, Antecedents, and Consequences of Workplace Bullying among Nurses—A Summary of Reviews, International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, vol. 19, no. 14, 2022, doi: 10.3390/ijerph19148256.
- [6] A. Adams and F. Bray, Holding out against workplace harassment and bullying, Pers. Manag., vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 48-52, 1992.
- [7] P. Duru, M. E. Ocaktan, O. Çelen, and O. Örsal, The Effect of Workplace Bullying Perception on Psychological Symptoms: A Structural Equation Approach, Saf. Health Work, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 210-215, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2017.06.010.
- D. Wolke and S. T. Lereya, Long-term effects of bullying, Arch. Dis. Child., vol. 100, no. 9, pp. 879 LP - 885, Sep. 2015, doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2014-306667.
- [9] K. Bhui, S. Dinos, M. Galant-Miecznikowska, B. de Jongh, and S. Stansfeld, Perceptions of work stress causes and effective interventions in employees working in public, private and non-governmental organisations: a qualitative study, BJPsych Bull., vol. 40, no. 6, pp. doi: 318 - 325, 2016, 10.1192/pb.bp.115.050823.
- T. A. Beehr And J. E. Newman, Job Stress, [10] Organizational Employee Health, And Effectiveness: A Facet Analysis, Model, And Literature Review, Pers. Psychol., Vol. 31, No. 4, 665-699, Pp. Dec. 1978, Doi: Https://Doi.Org/10.1111/J.1744-6570.1978.Tb02118.X.
- No war, but 4000 soldiers killed since 1999, The Times of India, 2012.
- M. Zeidner, G. Matthews, and R. D. [12] Roberts, Emotional Intelligence in the Workplace: A Critical Review, Appl. Psychol., vol. 53, no. 3, pp. Jul. 2004, 371-399, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2004.00176.x.
- D. M. Wegner, R. Erber, and S. Zanakos, Ironic processes in the mental control of mood and

- mood-related thought., *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 65, no. 6. American Psychological Association, US, pp. 1093–1104, 1993, doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.65.6.1093.
- [14] S. Ramesar, P. Koortzen, and R. M. Oosthuizen, The relationship between emotional intelligence and stress management, *SA J. Ind. Psychol. Vol 35, No 1,* 2009, doi: 10.4102/sajip.v35i1.443.
- [15] A. Wons and K. Bargiel-Matusiewicz, The emotional intelligence and coping with stress among medical students, *Wiad. Lek.*, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 181–7, 2011, [Online]. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22335141.
- [16] A. Kumar, Mental Health of the Army Personnel: Impact of Training and Lifestyle, *Int. J. Policy Sci. Law*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 648–661, 2020.
- [17] A. Anjum, A. Muazzam, F. Manzoor, A. Visvizi, G. Pollock, and R. Nawaz, Measuring the Scale and Scope of Workplace Bullying: An Alternative Workplace Bullying Scale, *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 17. 2019, doi: 10.3390/su11174634.
- [18] P. J. Ramos-Villagrasa, J. R. Barrada, E. Fernández-del-Río, and L. Koopmans, Assessing Job Performance Using Brief Self-report Scales: The Case of the Individual Work Performance Questionnaire, *J. Work Organ. Psychol.*, vol. 35, pp. 195–205, 2019.
- [19] P. F. Lovibond and S. H. Lovibond, The structure of negative emotional states: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories, *Behav. Res. Ther.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 335–343, 1995, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-U.
- [20] S. H. Lovibond and P. F. Lovibond, *Manual for the Depression Anxiety & Stress Scales*. Sydney: Sydney: Psychology Foundation, 1995.
- [21] C.-S. Wong and K. S. Law, The effects of leader and follower emotional intelligence on performance and attitude: An exploratory study, *Leadersh. Q.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 243–274, 2002, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(02)00099-1.
- [22] J. D. Mayer, P. Salovey, D. R. Caruso, and L. Cherkasskiy, *Emotional intelligence*., The Cambridge handbook of intelligence. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, US, pp. 528–549, 2011, doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511977244.027.

- [23] P. Salovey, B. T. Detweiler-Bedell, J. B. Detweiler-Bedell, and J. D. Mayer, Emotional intelligence., *Handbook of emotions, 3rd ed.* The Guilford Press, New York, NY, US, pp. 533–547, 2008.
- [24] L. Campbell-Sills, X. Sun, R. C. Kessler, R. J. Ursano, S. Jain, and M. B. Stein, Exposure to Bullying or Hazing During Deployment and Mental Health Outcomes Among US Army Soldiers, *JAMA Netw. Open*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. e2252109–e2252109, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.52109.
- [25] M. B. Nielsen and S. V. Einarsen, What we know, what we do not know, and what we should and could have known about workplace bullying: An overview of the literature and agenda for future research, *Aggress. Violent Behav.*, vol. 42, pp. 71–83, 2018, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2018.06.007.
- R. A. Sansone and L. A. Sansone, [26] Workplace bullying: A tale of adverse consequences., *Innovations* Clinical in Neuroscience, vol. 12, no. 1-2. Matrix Medical Communications, Sansone, Randy A.: Sycamore Primary Care Center, 2115 Leiter Road, Miamisburg, OH, US, 45342, randy.sansone@khnetwork.org, pp. 32-37, 2015.
- [27] D. N. Ikyanyon and A. Ucho, Workplace Bullying, Job Satisfaction and Job Performance among Employees in a Federal Hospital in Nigeria, *Eur. J. Bus. Manag.*, vol. 5, no. 23, pp. 116–123, 2013.
- [28] J. Fowler, The Financial Impacts of Workplace Bullying, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://wellbeing.firststeps.nz/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/The_Financial_Impact s_Of_Workplace_Bullying_fin.p.
- [29] G. Alessandri, L. Borgogni, and G. P. Latham, A Dynamic Model of the Longitudinal Relationship between Job Satisfaction and Supervisor-Rated Job Performance, *Appl. Psychol.*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 207–232, Apr. 2017, doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12091.
- [30] N. Noermijati, S. Sunaryo, and I. K. Ratri, The influence of emotional intelligence on employee performance mediated by cooperative conflict management style of integrating and compromising, *J. Apl. Manaj.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 37–47, 2019, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jam.2019.017.01.05
- [31] M. Shahhosseini, A. D. Silong, I. A. Ismaill, and J. nak Uli, The Role of Emotional

Bullying, Job Performance and other Psychological Factors among Army Personnels

- Intelligence on Job Performance, Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci., vol. 3, no. 21, pp. 241–246, 2012.
- C. Miao, R. H. Humphrey, and S. Qian, A meta-analysis of emotional intelligence and work attitudes, J. Occup. Organ. Psychol., vol. 90, no. 2, 177-202, Jun. 2017, https://doi.org/10.1111/joop.12167.
- N. Yamani, M. Shahabi, and F. Haghani, The relationship between emotional intelligence and job stress in the faculty of medicine in Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, J. Adv. Med. Educ. & Prof., vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 20-26, 2014, Available: http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/25512914.
- A. Lo Presti, P. Pappone, and A. Landolfi, The Associations Between Workplace Bullying and Physical or Psychological Negative Symptoms: Anxiety and Depression as Mediators, Europe's journal of psychology, vol. 15, no. 4. Dipartimento di Psicologia, Università degli Studi della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", Viale Ellittico, Caserta, Italy., pp. 808-822, 2019, doi: 10.5964/ejop.v15i4.1733.
- M. Poursadeghiyan, M. Abbasi, A. Mehri, [35] M. Hami, M. Raei, and M. H. Ebrahimi, Relationship between job stress and anxiety, depression and job satisfaction in nurses in Iran, Soc. Sci., vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 2349-2355, 2016.
- C. Saravanan and R. Wilks, Medical [36] Students' Experience of and Reaction to Stress: The Role of Depression and Anxiety, Sci. World J., 2014, p. 737382, 2014, 10.1155/2014/737382.